



# VILLAGE DISTRICT CONSULTANT

**DATE:** January 12, 2010

**APPLICANT:** 17A Governor Street

**MEETING LOCATION:** Town Hall Annex  
Back Lower Level Conference Room

**VDC MEMBERS:**

(Place check next to those who attended)

S. Benton

J. Kinnear

W. Arthur

P. Guzy

H. Dimos

G. Smith

S. Benson

G. Lounsbury

**ALTERNATES:**

**ALSO PRESENT:** Jean-Pierre Mikhael

**APPLICATION:**  Special Permit  Site Plan Approval  Sign

Exterior Renovation  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**ACTION:**  VDC recommends design approval as noted

VDC does not recommend design approval

Additional design studies/information requested

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### COMMENTS, EXCEPTIONS AND/OR RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

The VDC noted that this shopping center was recently upgraded, and part of the renovation was unified signage which we commend the Owner of the shopping center for providing.

The VDC recommends:

1. That the applicant install a hanging sign that matches the other hanging signs in size and color scheme.
2. The VDC recommended that the applicant could also use vinyl letters on the glass as permitted in the sign regulations, in addition to the hanging sign.
3. The VDC does not recommend a sign on the building soffit as it would disturb the signage unity of the shopping center.
4. The applicant agreed to resubmit.

Vote

For: 0

Opposed: 3

**BY:** John Kinnear



# VILLAGE DISTRICT CONSULTANT

**DATE:** January 12, 2010

**APPLICANT:** 388 Main Street

**MEETING LOCATION:** Town Hall Annex  
Back Lower Level Conference Room

**VDC MEMBERS:**

(Place check next to those who attended)

S. Benton

J. Kinnear

W. Arthur

P. Guzy

H. Dimos

G. Smith

S. Benson

G. Lounsbury

**ALTERNATES:**

**ALSO PRESENT:** \_\_\_\_\_

**APPLICATION:**  Special Permit  Site Plan Approval  Sign  
 Exterior Renovation  Other: Awning

**ACTION:**  VDC recommends design approval as noted  
 VDC does not recommend design approval  
 Additional design studies/information requested  
 Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**COMMENTS, EXCEPTIONS AND/OR RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS**

The VDC recommends the following:

5. That the existing black awning be utilized by the applicant with a new front black panel with "Weichert" in white or yellow letters (similar to the awning/sign on the store to the right). This black awning matches the black framing of the storefronts and is very attractive.
6. The proposed bright yellow striped awning will clash with the color of the brick on the building, whereas the existing black awning coordinates very well.
7. The past few years have seen many improvements to the appearance of Main Street, including awnings of the same color over all the storefronts in many buildings, and fortunately most of the too bright awnings are gone. The VDC recommends not approving too bright awnings.

*(cont'd)*

**Note:** The applicant was not at the meeting, so it is not clear what is proposed for the parking lot side of the space. A photo of the existing rear elevation was in the package, but no other information was provided.

<u>Vote</u> For: 0	Opposed: 3
-----------------------	------------

**BY:** \_\_\_\_\_ John Kinnear \_\_\_\_\_



# ARCHITECTURAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**DATE:** January 12, 2010

**APPLICANT:** 635 Danbury Road

Stonehouse Commons

**MEETING LOCATION:** Town Hall Annex  
Back Lower Level Conference Room

**AAC MEMBERS:**

(Place check next to those who attended)

S. Benton

J. Kinnear

W. Arthur

P. Guzy

H. Dimos

G. Smith

S. Benson

G. Lounsbury

**ALTERNATES:**

**ALSO PRESENT:** Roland Hagman

**APPLICATION:**  Special Permit  Site Plan Approval  Sign  
 Exterior Renovation  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**ACTION:**  AAC recommends design approval as noted  
 AAC does not recommend design approval  
 Additional design studies/information requested  
 Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### COMMENTS, EXCEPTIONS AND/OR RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

633 Danbury Road is one of the most significant buildings in Ridgefield, from both an architectural and historic perspective.

The AAC strongly recommends a carved wood sign with gilded letters. The sign presented was to be constructed of plastic, which the AAC considers inappropriate for this building.

1. The overall size and design are appropriate.
2. We recommend that all the lettering is the same font and style.
3. We recommend that the sign panel and legs be one color, and the lettering another, rather than having two different background colors.

**Vote**

For: 0

Opposed: 3

**BY:** John Kinnear

